Learning PDE model reductions/ moment closures of stochastic reaction-diffusion dynamics

Eric Mjolsness

University of California, Irvine http://emj.ics.uci.edu

> NIH 24 October 2019



Mapping: Model reduction



[Johnson, Bartol, Sejnowski, and Mjolsness. Physical Biology 12:4, July 2015]

• Nonspatial: $p(R,t) = \exp\left[-\sum_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}(t) V_{\alpha}(R)\right]/\hat{Z}(\mu(t))$

$$\Psi \mathscr{R} \simeq \mathscr{R} \Psi$$

 $\frac{dp}{dt} = W \cdot p$

[Johnson, Bartol, Sejnowski, and Mjolsness. Physical Biology 12:4, July 2015]

-Graph-Constrained Correlation Dynamics

-warmup case for ...

Spatial generalization:

$$\tilde{p}(n, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, t) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\langle j \rangle} \nu_k(\boldsymbol{x}_{\langle j \rangle}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\langle j \rangle}, t) \right],$$

-Dynamic Boltzmann distributions

MaxEnt Problem

$$S = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{p}||\boldsymbol{\tilde{p}})$$
$$w/ \ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L}}(\boldsymbol{p}||\boldsymbol{\tilde{p}}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{n}=0}^{\infty} \int d\boldsymbol{x} \ \boldsymbol{p} \ln \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{\tilde{p}}}$$
$$\tilde{p}(n, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, t) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\langle j \rangle} \nu_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\langle j \rangle}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\langle j \rangle}, t) \right],$$

Variational problem

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta F_k[\{\nu_k(\boldsymbol{x})\}_{k=1}^K]} = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, K \text{ at all } \boldsymbol{x}$$
(12)

where the variation is with respect to a set of functionals

$$\dot{\nu}_k(\mathbf{x}) = F_k[\{\nu_k\}_{k=1}^K]$$
 (13)

... Higher-order calculus!

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta F_{k}[\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x})]} = \sum_{k'=1}^{K} \int d\boldsymbol{x}' \int dt \, \frac{\delta S}{\delta \nu_{k'}(\boldsymbol{x}', t)} \frac{\delta \nu_{k'}(\boldsymbol{x}', t)}{\delta F_{k}[\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x})]} = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\underbrace{1}_{\substack{\delta S \\ \delta \nu_{k'}(\boldsymbol{x}', t)}} = \left\langle \sum_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}} \delta(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}}) \right\rangle_{p} - \left\langle \sum_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}} \delta(\boldsymbol{x}' - \boldsymbol{x}_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}}) \right\rangle_{\tilde{p}} \quad (20)$$
e.g. $k' = 1 : \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(x_{i} - x') \right\rangle$ for all x'
 $k' = 2 : \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j > i} \delta(x_{i} - x'_{1}) \delta(x_{j} - x'_{2}) \right\rangle$ for all x'_{1}, x'_{2}

Need to choose a parametrization for functional!

Slide: Oliver Ernst, Salk

Diffusion-inspired parametrization



$$p(x) \sim \exp\left[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{4Dt}\right] \rightarrow \exp[-\nu_1(x)]$$

satisfies: $\frac{\partial \nu_1}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 \nu_1(x) - D(\nabla \nu_1(x))^2$

$$\therefore F_k[\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x})] = F_k^{(0)} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^k F_{k\lambda}^{(1)} (\nabla \nu_\lambda)^2 + \sum_{\lambda=1}^k F_{k\lambda}^{(2)} (\nabla^2 \nu_\lambda) \quad (20)$$

where: F = some funcs of ν on LHS

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta F_k^{(0)}} = 0, \frac{\delta S}{\delta F_{k\lambda}^{(1)}} = 0, \frac{\delta S}{\delta F_{k\lambda}^{(2)}} = 0$$

PDE-constrained Optimization Problem

$$\text{Minimize} \sum_{k'=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left(\left\langle \sum_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}} \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}}) \right\rangle_{p} - \left\langle \sum_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}} \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}_{\langle i \rangle_{k'}^{n}}) \right\rangle_{\tilde{p}} \right) \frac{\delta \nu_{k'}(t)}{\delta F} \quad (23)$$

subject to PDE constraints for $\delta \nu_{k'}(t)/\delta F$.

Adjoint method BMLA-like learning algorithm

Algorithm 1 Stochastic Gradient Descent for Learning Restricted Boltzmann Machine Dynamics

1: Initialize

- 2: Parameters \boldsymbol{u}_k controlling the functions $F_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{u}_k)$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, K$.
- Time interval $[t_0, t_f]$, a formula for the learning rate λ . 3:
- 4: while not converged do
- Initialize $\Delta F_{k,i} = 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, K$ and parameters $i = 1, \ldots, M_k$. 5:
- for sample in batch do 6:
- \triangleright Generate trajectory in reduced space $\boldsymbol{\theta}$: 7:
- Solve the PDE constraint (27) for $\theta_k(t)$ with a given IC $\theta_{k,0}$ over $t_0 \le t \le t_f$, for all k. Wake phase: 8:
- 9:
- Evaluate moments $\mu_k(t)$ of the data for all k, t. 10:
- ▷ Sleep phase: 11:
- 12:
- Evaluate moments $\tilde{\mu}_k(t)$ of the Boltzmann distribution. \Rightarrow Solve the adjoint system: Solve the adjoint system (31) for $\phi_k(t)$ for all k, t. $\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}\phi_k(t) = \tilde{\mu}_k(t) \mu_k(t) \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\partial F_l(\boldsymbol{\theta}(t); \boldsymbol{u}_l)}{\partial \theta_k(t)} \phi_l(t),$ 13:14:
- ▷ Evaluate the objective function: 15:
- Update $\Delta F_{k,i}$ as the cumulative moving average of the sensitivity equation (30) over the batch. 16:
- $\frac{dS}{du_{k,i}} \stackrel{\clubsuit}{=} -\int_{t}^{t_{f}} dt \, \frac{\partial F_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(t);\boldsymbol{u}_{k})}{\partial u_{k,i}} \phi_{k}(t)$ ▷ Update to decrease objective function: 17: $u_{k,i} \to u_{k,i} - \lambda \Delta F_{k,i}$ for all k, i. 18:

Interpolation of F on LHS **Periodic Table of the Finite Elements**



Benefit of Hidden Units

Network: fratricide + lattice diffusion



Benefit of Hidden Units

Network: fratricide + lattice diffusion

Learned DBD ODE RHS, without and with hidden units





MSE of 4th order stats

FIG. 2. Top row: Learned time-evolution functions for the fully visible model (19), using the Q_3 , C_1 finite element parameterization (21) with $5 \times 5 \times 5$ evenly spaced cubic cells. Left: Training set of initial points (b, J, K) (cyan) sampled evenly in [-1, 1]. Stochastic simulations for each initial point are used as training data (learned trajectories shown in black, endpoints in magenta). Other panels: the time evolution functions learned. *Bottom row:* Hidden layer model (20) and parameterization (21) with the same number of cells as the visible model. Initial points are generated by BM learning the points of the visible model.

[Ernst, Bartol, Sejnowski, Mjolsness, Phys Rev E 99 063315, 2019]

Rössler Oscillator in 3D

• Function: • Learned DBD ODE RHS:



[Ernst, Bartol, Sejnowski, Mjolsness, Phys Rev E 99 063315, 2019]

Rössler Oscillator in 3D

Learned correlations:
 Learned Configuration



[Ernst, Bartol, Sejnowski, Mjolsness, Phys Rev E 99 063315, 2019]

Fields to Structures

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = W \cdot p$$

- Fields: PDE differential operator dynamics in W
- Software dichotomy: particle vs. PDE solvers
 - Usual integration: operator splitting. Deeper unification?
 - Regardless, a third category is necessary: dynamic structures.
- Dynamical Graph Grammars (DGGs):
 - operator addition of reactions, GGs, ODEs;
 - but what about PDEs?
- Approximately eliminate fields by:
 - Cell complexes in PDE (adaptive) meshing / FEMs, FVMs

MT fiber Stochastic Parametrized Graph Grammar

 $(\bullet_1) \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1) \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow (\bigcirc_1 \longrightarrow \bullet_2) \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \rangle \rangle$ with $\hat{\rho}_{\text{grow}}([\text{tubulin}])\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2; L\boldsymbol{u}_1, \sigma)\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{u}_2; \boldsymbol{u}_1/(|\boldsymbol{u}_1| + \epsilon), \epsilon),$ $(\blacksquare_1 \rightarrow \bigcirc_2) \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \rangle \rangle \longrightarrow (\blacksquare_2) \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \rangle \rangle$ with $\hat{\rho}_{retract}$ $\begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_1 \longrightarrow \bigcirc_2 \longrightarrow \bigcirc_3 \\ \bullet_4 \end{pmatrix} \langle\!\langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2), (\boldsymbol{x}_3, \boldsymbol{u}_3), (\boldsymbol{x}_4, \boldsymbol{u}_4) \rangle\!\rangle$ $\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_1 & \longrightarrow & \blacktriangle_2 & \longrightarrow & \bigcirc_3 \\ & \swarrow & & & \end{pmatrix} \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2), (\boldsymbol{x}_3, \boldsymbol{u}_3), (\boldsymbol{x}_4, \boldsymbol{u}_4) \rangle \rangle$ with $\hat{\rho}_{\text{bundle}}(|\bm{u}_2 \cdot \bm{u}_4| / |\cos \theta_{\text{crit}}|) \exp(-|\bm{x}_2 - \bm{x}_4|^2 / 2L^2)$ $(\blacksquare_1 \rightarrow \bullet_2) \langle\!\langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1), (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \rangle\!\rangle \longleftrightarrow \emptyset \quad \text{with } (\hat{\rho}_{\text{retract}},$ $\hat{\rho}_{\text{nucleate}}([\text{tubulin}])\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{0}, \sigma_{\text{broad}})\delta_{\text{Dirac}}(|\boldsymbol{u}_1| - 1)\delta_{\text{Dirac}}(\boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_2))$ $(\bullet_1) \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1) \rangle \rangle \longleftrightarrow (\blacksquare_1) \langle \langle (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1) \rangle \rangle$ with $(\hat{\rho}_{retract \leftarrow growth}, \hat{\rho}_{growth \leftarrow retract})$

> [EM, Bull. Math Biol. 81:8 Aug 2019 +arXiv:1804.11044]

MT fiber Stochastic Parametrized Graph Grammar

// (continued) // Fiber collision, with several alternative discrete outcomes: $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\star}_1 - \mathbf{\circ}_2 - \mathbf{\star}_3 \\ \mathbf{\circ}_4 - \mathbf{\bullet}_5 \end{pmatrix} \langle \langle (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{u}_1), (l_2, \mathbf{u}_2), (\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{u}_3), (l_4, \mathbf{u}_4), (\mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{u}_5) \rangle \rangle$ $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\star}_1 - \mathbf{\circ}_6 - \mathbf{\star}_2 - \mathbf{\circ}_7 - \mathbf{\star}_3 \\ \mathbf{\bullet}_4 \end{pmatrix} \langle \langle (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{u}_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{u}_2), (\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{u}_3), (l_4, \mathbf{u}_4), \otimes, (\alpha l_4, \mathbf{u}_2), ((1 - \alpha) l_4, \mathbf{u}_2) \rangle$ with $\hat{\rho}_{\text{bundle}}(|u_2 \cdot u_4|/|\cos\theta_{\text{crit}}|)\exp(-\gamma^2/2\epsilon^2)\Theta(\epsilon \le \alpha \le 1-\epsilon)$ $\longrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \bigstar_1 & \cdots & \bigcirc_2 & \cdots & \bigstar_3 \\ & \bigcirc_4 & \cdots & \blacksquare_5 \end{array} \right) \langle \langle (x_1, u_1), (l_2, u_2), (x_3, u_3), (l_4, u_4), (x_5, u_5) \rangle \rangle$ with $\hat{\rho}_{\text{bundle}}'(|\boldsymbol{u}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_4|/|\cos\theta_{\text{crit}}|)\exp\left(-\gamma^2/2\epsilon^2\right)\Theta(\epsilon \leq \alpha \leq 1-\epsilon)$ Crossove Zippering nduced catastro with $\hat{\rho}_{\text{bundle}}''(|u_2 \cdot u_4|/|\cos\theta_{\text{crit}}|)\exp(-\gamma^2/2\epsilon^2)\Theta(\epsilon \le \alpha \le 1-\epsilon)$ 60° $30^{\circ} \theta_z$ Collision angle

where $\begin{array}{l} \gamma = -[(x_3 - x_1) \times (x_1 - x_5)]_z / [(x_3 - x_1) \times u_5]_z \quad // \text{ rel. distance to intersection along } u_5 \\ \alpha = -[(x_1 - x_5) \times u_5]_z / [(x_3 - x_1) \times u_5]_z \quad // \text{ fractional location of intersection along } u_2 \end{array}$

[Chakrabortty et al. Current Biology

[EM, Bull. Math Biol. 81:8 Aug 2019 +arXiv:1804.11044]

Cajete MT: First Light

					•								
			, -										
		• •	- •										
Φ	Positive												
						 •							
Ť I	Intermediate-												N
	Neadtha												
rti	neganve-												∠
DQ	Junction_							*					

Eric Medwedeff, UCI; Bob Bird, LANL

Cajete MT: First Light



Eric Medwedeff, UCI; Bob Bird, LANL

Why operator algebra yields algorithms

- Baker Campbell Hausdorff theorem
 - => operator splitting algorithms e.g. Trotter Product Formula ...

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[e^{(t/n)H_0} e^{(t/n)H_1} \right]^n$

 Time-ordered product expansions => Stochastic Simulation Algorithm (SSA)
 – [EMj, Phys Bio 2013]

$$\exp(t (W_0 + W_1)) = \exp(t W_0) \left(\exp\left(\int_0^t \exp(-\tau W_0) W_1 \exp(\tau W_0) d\tau\right) \right)_+$$
$$\equiv \exp(t W_0) \left(\exp\left(\int_0^t W_1 (\tau) d\tau\right) \right)_+$$

- weighted SSA (wSSA) possible too

Algebra of Labelled-Graph Rewrite Rules

$$\hat{W}_{G^{r_2} \text{ in} \to G^{r_2} \text{ out}} \hat{W}_{G^{r_1} \text{ in} \to G^{r_1} \text{ out}} \simeq \sum_{\substack{H \subseteq G^{r_1} \text{ out} \simeq \tilde{H} \subseteq G^{r_2} \text{ in} \\ H \in G^{r_1 \text{ out}} \simeq \tilde{H} \subseteq G^{r_2} \text{ in}}} \sum_{\substack{h: H \stackrel{l-1}{\to} \tilde{H}}} \hat{W}_{G^{r_1} \text{ in} \cup (G^{r_2} \text{ in} \setminus \tilde{H}) \xrightarrow{h}} G^{r_2} \text{ out} \cup (G^{r_1} \text{ out} \setminus H) }$$

E.g.:
$$[\hat{W}_2, \hat{W}_1] = [(\blacksquare_{1'} \to \bigcirc_{2'}) \to (\blacksquare_{2'}), (\bullet_1) \to (\bigcirc_1 \to \bullet_2)]$$
$$\simeq (\blacksquare_{1'} \to \bullet_1) \to (\blacksquare_1 \to \bullet_2)$$

$$[\hat{W}_{3}, \hat{W}_{1}] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \to \bigcirc_{2'} \to \bigcirc_{3'} \\ \bullet_{4'} \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \to \bullet_{2'} \to \bigcirc_{3'} \\ \bigcirc_{4'} \end{pmatrix} , \ (\bullet_{1}) \to (\bigcirc_{1} \to \bullet_{2}) \right]$$

$$\simeq \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \to \bigcirc_{2'} \to \bullet_{1} \\ \bullet_{4'} \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \to \bullet_{2'} \to \bigcirc_{1} \to \bullet_{2} \\ \bigcirc_{4'} \end{pmatrix} \text{ (rare coincidence)}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \to \bigcirc_{2'} \to \bigcirc_{3'} \\ \bullet_{1'} \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \to \bullet_{2'} \to \bigcirc_{3'} \\ \bigcirc_{2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ (likely)}$$

$$+ (\bigcirc_{1'} \longrightarrow \bigcirc_{2'} \longrightarrow \bullet_1) \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \bigcirc_{1'} \longrightarrow \bullet_{2'} \longrightarrow \bigcirc_1 \\ & \uparrow & & \bigcirc_1 \\ & \bigcirc_{4'} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(high bending energy)}$$

+ (3 terms whose LHS rely on MT syntax violations - omitted)

[EM, http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.04118]

Ψ

""" "" "Tchicoma" Architecture for Mathematical Modeling

• Language meta-hierarchy: (a DAG with edge labels in a tree)



Conclusions

- Model reduction can be achieved by machine learning, in spatial stochastic models.
 - Coarse scale: Discretized PDEs for multi-particle Boltzmann potential energies.
 - Fine scale: Stochastic reaction/diffusion examples.
- Morpho-dynamic spatial structures can be modeled by dynamical graph grammars with operator algebra semantics.
 - Bio-universal (includes particles and *DEs).
 - Scalability is in progress.
 - MT examples.
- Model stacks are the key data structure for understanding complex bio systems.
 - They require model reduction and bio-universal modeling languages (perhaps as above).
 - They can intersect productively, and could be curated in a proposed conceptual architecture "Tchicoma".
- In these ways, both symbolic and numeric AI can (and should!) be brought to bear on understanding complex biological systems.